

Environmental Impact Assessment [version 1.0]

Proposal title: Re-Tender of MS	S Azure Agreement		
Project stage and type: ☐ Init	ial Idea Mandate	☐ Outline Business Case	
☐ Policy ☐ Strategy ☐ Fun	ction 🛛 Service	□ New	☐ Changing
☐ Other [please state]			3 3 3
Directorate:		Lead Officer name: Paul Day	
Service Area:		Lead Officer role: Head of IC	
			(
C. A. William I.			
Step 1: What do we want	to do?		
The purpose of this Environment compliant with the council's poli	cies and supports the co	uncil's strategic objectives und	der the One City Climate
Strategy, the One City Ecological	<u>Emergency Strategy</u> and	the latest <u>Corporate Strategy</u>	·
This assessment should be starte knowledge of the project, the se changes as needed.			
It is good practice to take a team guidance on completing this doc advice and feedback.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
Briefly explain the purpose of the acronyms. The proposal is to re-tender for service that currently hosts much	the provision of cloud h	osting through Microsoft Azur	
1.2 Will the proposal ha Could the proposal have either a explain why you are sure there w Sustainable City and Climate Cha	positive or negative efforwill be no environmental nge Service.	ects for the environment now	
If 'Yes' complete the rest of this	assessment.		
⊠ Yes □ No	[please select]		
been assessed and income of the project mana	tails of the environment	al document.	ss?
☐ Yes ☐ No		[please select]	

If 'No' explain why environmental impacts have not been considered as part of the options appraisal process.

Step 2: What kinds of environmental impacts might the project have?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered. See detailed <u>guidance documents</u> for advice on identifying potential impacts.

2.1 Does the proposal create any benefits for the environment, or have any adverse impacts?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our corporate environmental objectives and the wider One City Climate and Ecological Emergency strategies.

Consider how the proposal creates environmental impacts in the following categories, both now and in the future. Reasonable efforts should be made to quantify stated benefit or adverse impacts wherever possible.

Where the proposal is likely to have a beneficial impact, consider what actions would enhance those impacts. Where the proposal is likely to have a harmful impact, consider whether actions would mitigate these impacts.

Enhancements or mitigation actions are only required when there is a likely impact identified. Remember that where enhancements or mitigation actions are listed, they should be assigned to staff and appropriately resourced.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many categories)

There is a separate proposal to reprocure the Microsoft Dynamics (CRM/ERP) going for approval at the same cabinet meeting. Combining these proposals was considered, but there were benefits in keeping them separate. Joint procurement of these services was also considered, but it is unlikely to reduce procurement costs, so will be done separately.

done separately.		
ENV1 Carbon neutral: Emissions of climate changing gases		Microsoft services are carbon neutral through the use of carbon offsets. They have pledged to be carbon negative by 2030 and to have removed all their historical carbon emissions by 2050: Microsoft will be carbon negative by 2030 - The Official Microsoft
BCC has committed to achieving net zero emissions for its direct activities by 2025, and to support the city in achieving net zero by 2030.	Benefits	Replacing council datacentres (which are not carbon neutral) with a service that is carbon neutral through offsets and committed to becoming significantly carbon negative in future is beneficial in reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
Will the proposal involve transport, or the use of energy in buildings? Will the proposal involve the purchase of goods or services? If the answer is yes to either of these questions, there will be a carbon impact.	Enhancing actions	To ensure that Microsoft are continuing to make progress in becoming carbon negative, the Emissions Impact Dashboard plug-in will be used for both the Microsoft Azure and Microsoft Dynamics services (if both are used following retendering) and will be made available to the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service for reporting. This will show emissions without counting offsets, which will give a better idea of the efficiency of these services. Offsets are overheads that will form part of charges to customers, so progress to reduce the need for offsets to achieve carbon neutrality will reduce future costs.
	Persistence (of effects: \square 1 year or less \boxtimes 1 – 5 years \square 5+ years
Consider the scale and timeframe of the impact, particularly if the proposal will lead to ongoing emissions beyond the 2025 and 2030 target dates.	Adverse impacts	

Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	⊠ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
ENV2 Ecological recovery: Wildlife and habitats BCC has committed to 30% of its land being managed for nature and to halve its use of pesticides by 2030.	Benefits				
Consider how your proposal can support increased space for nature, reduced use of pesticides, reduce pollution to waterways, and reduce consumption of products	Enhancing actions				
that undermine ecosystems	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
If your proposal will directly lead to a reduction in habitat within Bristol, then consider how your proposed	Adverse impacts				
mitigation can lead to a biodiversity net gain. Be sure to refer to quantifiable changes wherever possible.	Mitigating actions				
<u>Further guidance</u>					
No impact ■	Persistence of	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
		N 4:		(:
ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste city: Consumption of resources and generation of waste	Benefits	Microsoft has committed to 'zero waste by 2030, by building 'Microsoft Circular Centers' to re-use and repurpose servers in their datacentres. Microsoft commits to achieve 'zero waste' goals by 2030 - The Official Microsoft Blog			
Consider what resources will be used as a result of the proposal, how they can be minimised or swapped for	Enhancing actions				
less impactful ones, where	Persistence (☐ 1 year or less		☐ 5+ years
they will be sourced from, and what will happen to any waste generated	Adverse impacts		res use computers in their construct	s which consume non-rene	ewable

	Mitigating	Azure ensures that only the computing resources that we need are used, avoiding the contingency capacity and downtime we would experience by running our own data centres.			
<u>Further guidance</u>	actions				
☐ No impact	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or less		☐ 5+ years
ENV4 Climate resilience: Bristol's resilience to the effects of climate change	Benefits	leave the change. \(\text{l} \)	council vulnerable	ed up with other local dat to local instabilities cause ely distributed service wil	by climate
Bristol's climate is already changing, and increasingly frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time.	Enhancing actions				
Consider how the proposal	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or less		☐ 5+ years
will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding).	Adverse impacts				
Consider if the proposal will					
reduce or increase risk to people and assets during extreme weather events. Further guidance	Mitigating actions				
□ No impact	Persistence (of offorts:	☐ 1 year or less		☐ 5+ years
	reisistence	or effects.	I year or less		□ J+ years
Statutory duty: Prevention of Pollution to air, water, or land	Benefits	Datacentres are not generally significant polluters. Because this service uses Microsoft's datacentres outside of Bristol, there will be no local pollution at all. Further information at: How Microsoft measures datacenter water and energy use to improve Azure Cloud sustainability Azure Blog Microsoft Azure		, there will be	
Consider how the proposal will change the likelihood of	Enhancing actions				
pollution occurring to air,	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less		☐ 5+ years
water, or land and what steps will be taken to prevent pollution occurring.	Adverse impacts				
Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions				
	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less		☐ 5+ years

Step 3: Actions

3.1 Action Plan

Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person.

This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.

Enhancing / mitigating action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Microsoft's Power BI Emissions Impact Dashboard will be used to	Paul Day	Six months from
assess the improving efficiency of their and making this available to		start of contract
the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service for emissions		
reporting.		

Step 4: Review

The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal. Please seek feedback and review from the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service before final submission of your decision pathway documentation¹.

Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here and included on the cover sheet of the decision pathway documentation.

Summary of significant beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate				
Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):				
The most significant benefits are from replacing the greenhouse gas emissions and waste from council				
datacentres with hosting services from a carbon neutral organisation with ambitions to become zero waste and				

datacentres with hosting services from a carbon neutral organisation with ambitions to become zero waste and carbon negative by 2030.

Summary of significant adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated:
There are no significant adverse impacts.

Environmental Performance Team Reviewer: Giles Liddell	Submitting author: Paul Day
Date: 25/08/2023	Date: 23/08/2023

¹ Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.